

Terrestrial Animal Health Standards
Commission Report - March 2008

APPENDIX 3.8.3.

**GUIDELINES ON SURVEILLANCE FOR
CONTAGIOUS BOVINE PLEUROPNEUMONIA**

Article 3.8.3.1.

Introduction

This Appendix defines the principles and provides a guide for the surveillance of contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (CBPP) in accordance with Appendix 3.8.1. applicable to **countries** **Members** seeking recognition from the OIE for freedom from CBPP. This may be for the entire country, *zone* or *compartment* within the country. Guidance for **countries** **Members** seeking reestablishment of freedom from CBPP for the whole country, *zone* or *compartment* within the country, following an *outbreak*, as well as guidelines for the maintenance of CBPP status are provided. These guidelines are intended to expand on and explain the requirements of Chapter 2.3.15. Applications to the OIE for recognition of freedom should follow the format and answer all the questions posed by the "Questionnaire on CBPP" available from the OIE *Central Bureau*.

The impact and epidemiology of CBPP differ widely in different regions of the world and therefore it is impossible to provide specific guidelines for all situations. It is axiomatic that the surveillance strategies employed for demonstrating freedom from CBPP at an acceptable level of confidence will need to be adapted to the local situation. It is incumbent upon the applicant **country** **Member** to submit a dossier to the OIE in support of its application that not only explains the epidemiology of CBPP in the region concerned but also demonstrates how all the risk factors are managed. This should include provision of scientifically-based supporting data. There is therefore considerable latitude available to OIE Members to provide a well-reasoned argument to prove that the absence of CBPP infection is assured at an acceptable level of confidence.

Surveillance for CBPP should be in the form of a continuing programme designed to establish that the whole territory or part of it is free from CBPP infection.

Article 3.8.3.2.

General conditions and methods

1. A surveillance system in accordance with Appendix 3.8.1. should be under the responsibility of the *Veterinary Authority*. A procedure should be in place for the rapid collection and transport of samples from suspect cases of CBPP to a laboratory for CBPP diagnoses as described in the *Terrestrial Manual*.
2. The CBPP surveillance programme should:
 - a) include an early warning system throughout the production, marketing and processing chain for reporting suspicious cases. Farmers and workers (such as community animal health workers) who have day-to-day contact with livestock, meat inspectors as well as laboratory diagnosticians, should report promptly any suspicion of CBPP. They should be

integrated directly or indirectly (e.g. through private veterinarians or *veterinary para-professionals*) into the surveillance system. All suspect cases of CBPP should be investigated immediately. Where suspicion cannot be resolved by epidemiological and clinical investigation, samples should be taken and submitted to an *laboratory*. This requires that sampling kits and other equipment are available for those responsible for surveillance. Personnel responsible for surveillance should be able to call for assistance from a team with expertise in CBPP diagnosis and control;

- b) implement, when relevant, regular and frequent clinical inspection and testing of high-risk groups of animals, such as those adjacent to a CBPP infected country or *zone* (for example, areas of transhumant production systems);
- c) take into consideration additional factors such as animal movement, different production systems, geographical and socio-economic factors that may influence the risk of disease occurrence.

An effective surveillance system will periodically identify suspicious cases that require follow-up and investigation to confirm or exclude that the cause of the condition is CBPP. The rate at which such suspicious cases are likely to occur will differ between epidemiological situations and cannot therefore be predicted reliably. Applications for freedom from CBPP infection should, in consequence, provide details of the occurrence of suspicious cases and how they were investigated and dealt with. This should include the results of laboratory testing and the control measures to which the animals concerned were subjected during the investigation (quarantine, movement stand-still orders, etc.).

Article 3.8.3.3.

Surveillance strategies

1. Introduction

The target population for surveillance aimed at identifying *disease* and *infection* should cover all the susceptible species (*Bos taurus*, *B. indicus* and *Bubalus bubalis*) within the country, *zone* or *compartment* to be recognised as free from CBPP infection.

Given the limitations of the diagnostic tools available, the interpretation of surveillance results should be at the herd level rather than at the individual animal level.

Randomised surveillance may not be the preferred approach given the epidemiology of the disease (usually uneven distribution and potential for occult foci of infection in small populations) and the limited sensitivity and specificity of currently available tests. Targeted surveillance (e.g. based on the increased likelihood of *infection* in particular localities or species, focusing on slaughter findings, and active clinical surveillance) may be the most appropriate strategy. The applicant **country** **Member** should justify the surveillance strategy chosen as adequate to detect the presence of CBPP infection in accordance with Appendix 3.8.1. and the epidemiological situation.

Targeted surveillance may involve testing of the entire target subpopulation or a sample from it. In the latter case the sampling strategy will need to incorporate an epidemiologically appropriate design prevalence. The sample size selected for testing will need to be large enough to detect infection if it were to occur at a predetermined minimum rate. The sample size and expected disease prevalence determine the level of confidence in the results of the survey. The applicant

country Member must justify the choice of design prevalence and confidence level based on the objectives of surveillance and the epidemiological situation, in accordance with Appendix 3.8.1. Selection of the design prevalence in particular clearly needs to be based on the prevailing or historical epidemiological situation.

Irrespective of the survey design selected, the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tests employed are key factors in the design, sample size determination and interpretation of the results obtained. Ideally, the sensitivity and specificity of the tests used should be validated.

Irrespective of the surveillance system employed, the design should anticipate the occurrence of false positive reactions. If the characteristics of the testing system are known, the rate at which these false positives are likely to occur can be calculated in advance. There needs to be an effective procedure for following-up positives to ultimately determine with a high level of confidence, whether they are indicative of infection or not. This should involve follow-up with supplementary tests, clinical investigation and post-mortem examination in the original sampling unit as well as herds which may be epidemiologically linked to it.

2. Clinical surveillance

Clinical surveillance aims at detecting clinical signs of CBPP in a herd by close physical examination of susceptible animals. Clinical inspection will be an important component of CBPP surveillance contributing to reach the desired level of confidence of detection of *disease* if a sufficiently large number of clinically susceptible animals is examined.

Clinical surveillance and laboratory testing should always be applied in series to clarify the status of CBPP suspects detected by either of these complementary diagnostic approaches. Laboratory testing and post-mortem examination may contribute to confirm clinical suspicion, while clinical surveillance may contribute to confirmation of positive serology. Any sampling unit within which suspicious animals are detected should be classified as infected until contrary evidence is produced.

3. Pathological surveillance

Systematic pathological surveillance for CBPP is the most effective approach and should be conducted at *slaughterhouses* and other slaughter facilities. Suspect pathological findings should be confirmed by agent identification. Training courses for slaughter personnel and meat inspectors are recommended.

4. Serological testing

Serological surveillance is not the preferred strategy for CBPP. However, in the framework of epidemiologic investigations, serological testing may be used.

The limitations of available serological tests for CBPP will make the interpretation of results difficult and useful only at the herd level. Positive findings should be followed-up by clinical and pathological investigations and agent identification.

Clustering of seropositive reactions should be expected in CBPP infections and will be usually accompanied by clinical signs. As clustering may signal field strain infection, the investigation of all instances must be incorporated in the surveillance strategy.

Following the identification of a CBPP infected herd, contact herds need to be tested serologically. Repeated testing may be necessary to reach an acceptable level of confidence in herd classification.

5. Agent surveillance

Agent surveillance using tests described in the *Terrestrial Manual* should be conducted to follow-up and confirm or exclude suspect cases. Isolates should be typed to confirm *MmmSC*.

Article 3.8.3.4.

Countries or zones applying for recognition of freedom from CBPP

In addition to the general conditions described in Chapter 2.3.15., an OIE Member applying for recognition of CBPP freedom for the country or a *zone* should provide evidence for the existence of an effective surveillance programme. The strategy and design of the surveillance programme will depend on the prevailing epidemiological circumstances and will be planned and implemented according to general conditions and methods in this Appendix, to demonstrate absence of CBPP infection, during the preceding 24 months in susceptible populations. This requires the support of a national or other laboratory able to undertake identification of CBPP infection using methods described in the *Terrestrial Manual*.

Article 3.8.3.5

Compartments seeking recognition of freedom from CBPP

The bilateral recognition of CBPP free *compartments* should follow the principles laid in Chapter 2.3.15, Chapter 1.3.5, Appendix 3.x.x.x (Guidelines for compartmentalization) and this Appendix.

Article 3.8.3.6.

Countries or zones re-applying for recognition of freedom from CBPP following an outbreak

In addition to the general conditions described in Chapter 2.3.15., a **country Member** re-applying for recognition of country or *zone* freedom from CBPP should show evidence of an active surveillance programme for CBPP, following the recommendations of this Appendix.

Two strategies are recognised by the OIE in a programme to eradicate CBPP infection following an *outbreak*:

1. slaughter of all clinically affected and in-contact susceptible animals;
2. vaccination used without subsequent slaughter of vaccinated animals.